

DEVELOPING THE DIMENSIONS TO MEASURE THE SERVICE QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECT MANAGEMENT

by Dr. HÀ NAM KHÁNH GIAO* & NGUYỄN ĐOAN TRANG, MBA

Abstract

In construction industry today, the consultancy companies need to improve their service quality - an important part of consultancy service in order to enhance the customer's loyalty, boosting the business for future projects and word of mouth reputation. The question arises as to whether or not the dimensions used for measuring the quality of the generic services can be applied to the professional services such as the construction project management service. If yes, how to do so? This research is based on the literature review, especially Parasuraman et al. (1985, 1988), Hoxley (2004), Murugavarothen et al. (2000) and Ong (2007); and the qualitative method to suggest possible set of dimensions to measure the service quality of the construction project management.

1. Basic concepts

a. The nature of service:

A service has been defined by many scholars: Kotler et al. (2002), Grönroos (1979, 2001), Gummesson (1993), and Lovelock (1991). In a brief way, Kotler & Armstrong (2001, p. 291) shaped "services are a form of a product that consist of activities, benefits, or satisfactions offered for sale that are essentially intangible and do not result in the ownership of anything." Services have the following four key distinguishing attributes: Intangibility, Inseparability, Heterogeneity, and Perishability (Kotler & Bloom, 2002).

Kotler & Keller (2006) classify products/services into three categories in the continuum based on the difficulty of evaluation of products/services quality: (1) Credence-based qualities: the buyers find hard to evaluate even after purchase such as legal service, medical service, and education service; (2) Experience-based qualities: the buyers can evaluate after purchase such as hairdressing, travel, accommodation, and hotel service; and (3) Search-based qualities: the buyers can evaluate before purchase such as clothing, in which most of

services are in the first two categories. As a kind of credence-based services, professional services are also intangible, inseparable, variable and perishable. Unlike other service organizations, professional service providers have to deal with high level of uncertainty, limited service differentiability, and difficulties in quality control; have to face some distinctive problems that have not been faced by other types of service organizations such as client uncertainty, limited service differentiability, quality control difficulties, and several obstacles to mounting a successful marketing effort (Kotler et al, 2002). Named as goods of credence, the professional services attract the buyer by great faith in consultants who sell the service because services are usually lack many attributes that a buyer can confidently and competently evaluate before, or even after, making a purchase decision (Bloom, 1984).

b. Project management service in the construction industry:

Although the construction industry produces tangible items and has been categorized as a production instead of service activity, the industry still has some following features that have been characterized for service sector: they cannot be stored (perishability); it is impossible to sell the expertise inside the landmark once it is committed or used; the processes of service production and service consumption are inseparable (inseparability); the fact that construction products cannot be standardized makes the nature of heterogeneity of the industry; the intangible in the construction process can be shown in the preliminary design (intangibility).

There are various definitions of project management provided by Cleland (1990), Kerzner (1992), Turner (1994), and Pinto & Kharbanda (1995). Emphasized on the client satisfaction, Walker (2002) conceptualized project management as the planning, control and coordination of a project from conception to completion on behalf of a

client. It is concerned with the identification of the client's objectives in terms of utility, function, quality, time and cost, and the establishment of relationships between resources. The integration, monitoring and control of the contributors to the projects and their output, the evaluation and selection of alternatives in pursuit of the client's satisfaction with the project outcome are fundamental aspects of construction project management. In summary, project management brings together a set of skills, a suite of tools, and a series of processes.

c. Service quality:

There are a number of different definitions to service quality from scholars: Peters & Austin (1985), Zeithaml & Bitner (1996), Harvey (1995), Boomsma (1993), Lewis (1993), and Juran (1988), among others. One that is commonly used claims service quality as the extent to which a service meets customers' needs or expectations i.e. whatever the customers say it is and whatever the customer perceives it to be (Buzzel & Gale, 1987; Lewis & Mitchell, 1990; Dotchin & Oakland, 1994; Asubonteng et al., 1996; and Wisniewski & Donnelly, 1996).

According to Berry et al. (1988), service quality can be considered the most powerful competitive weapon available to service providers. Other research has also established that service business success is associated with the ability to deliver superior service quality (Gale, 1990; Rudie & Wansley, 1984; and Zeithaml, 2000). Therefore, an understanding of the nature of service quality and how it is achieved in the organizations has become a priority for research (Zeithaml et al, 1988).

2. Dimensions of service quality of the construction project management

Several studies have been conducted to identify service quality dimensions that significantly contribute to quality assessments in the service environment. In this paper, we will pay attention to the following scholars.

a. The SERVQUAL by Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (1985):

The Gaps model of service quality was formed by Parasuraman et al. (1985) based on ten determinants: Reliability; Responsiveness; Credibility; Competence, Access, Courtesy, Security, Communication, Tangibles, Understanding/Knowing the

customer. Then, in 1988, they performed scale purification on their original model of ten determinants and reduced their model to only five dimensions, which include Tangibles, Reliability, Responsiveness, Assurance and Empathy.

Based on the said five dimensions, SERVQUAL instrument consists of a 22-item instrument for assessing service quality based on customer's perceptions, which is the differences between the customer's perceived quality and his/her expectations. The perceived quality is assessed based on service quality dimensions that correspond to the criteria. It has become apparent that SERVQUAL is the most popular standardized questionnaire used to measure service quality to date, with several applications.

b. Understanding 12 essential elements for the effective project management (Ong, 2007) via Parasuraman's 10 original quality dimensions:

Recently, Ong (2007) summarizes 12 essentials elements for the effective project management needed in formulating and facilitating the effective project management to achieve project excellence and success.

It is impressive that 12 essentials elements are closely related to some of original service quality dimensions defined by Parasuraman et al. (1985) as below description:

However, it is evident that the said elements just totally explain some skills needed for ensuring the quality of service delivery process. Thing to be pondered is about the final outcome because most of investors pay high attention to the value of what they received against the amount of money they spent on a long term investment building project.

c. Service quality model by Murugavarothen et al. (2000):

Murugavarothen et al. (2000) conclude the model of criteria for customer evaluation of the construction professional service offered, in which the determinants of consultant service quality will be listed and ranked in order of priority: Reliability, Assurance, Empathy, Time of Project completion, Responsiveness, Function of completed project, Quality of completed project, Final cost, Tangibles.

In general, despite being basically built on the

RESEARCHES & DISCUSSIONS

Table 1: Correspondence between effective elements of project management to original ten dimensions of SERVQUAL

| Essential elements necessary in formulating and facilitating effective project management for construction projects. | Tangibles | Reliability | Responsiveness | Competence | Courtesy | Credibility | Security | Access | Communication | Understanding |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|------------|----------|-------------|----------|--------|---------------|---------------|
| 1.Understanding of the objectives and priorities | | | | | | | | | | x |
| 2.Understanding of the project nature and characteristics | | | | | | | | | | x |
| 3. Management of the project risks | | | | | | | x | | | |
| 4. Selection of a suitable project team | x | x | | x | | | | | x | |
| 5.Using of a suitable building procurement system | | x | | x | | | | | x | |
| 6.Use of a suitable contractual arrangement | | x | | x | | | | | x | |
| 7. Effective organization structure | | | x | | | | | x | x | |
| 8. Having proper and appropriate planning | | x | | x | | x | x | | | |
| 9. Effective monitoring and control systems | | x | | x | | x | x | | | |
| 10.Good information recording and retrieval systems | x | | | x | | | | | | |
| 11. Ability to adapt and manage change | | | x | | | | | | | |
| 12. Good commercial/business and human relations | | | | | x | | | x | | |

SERVQUAL model, the framework of service quality evaluation proposed by Murugavaroorthy et al. (2000) is similar to those of Gronroos's service quality model (1982, 1990) on the aspect that it tries to emphasize on what client is left when service production process has ended.

d. Michael Hoxley's SURVEYQUAL (2000, 2004):

Hoxley (2000) has discussed the development of a 26-item scale for assessing service quality in a UK construction professional service context. The data collection involves the assessment of 244 professionals by their clients. The findings of the research are that construction professional service

quality can be described as a four-dimensional construct (the writer has called the factors "what", "how", "when" and "who"). In 2004, an additional scale item which demonstrates good awareness of health and safety issues was added. Thus, it is a 27-item scale for assessing service quality in the construction professional service. The contents of criteria are listed on table 2, in which a SERVQUAL framework is referred and basically used to construct the SURVEYQUAL measure scale for the construction professional services.

e. Developing the dimensions to measure the service quality in construction project management:

RESEARCHES & DISCUSSIONS

Table 2: Hoxley (2004) service quality scale

| SERVQUAL (PZB, 1991) Generic Service Quality Measure | SURVEYQUAL (HOXLEY, 2004) Assessment of Professional consultant | HOXLEY'S FACTORS | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----|------|-----|
| | | WHAT | HOW | WHEN | WHO |
| Modern looking equipment | XYZ uses up-to-date technology. | | x | | |
| Physical facilities visually appealing | The offices of XYZ are visually appealing. | | | | |
| Employees neat-appeal | The staff of XYZ are always tidy in appearance. | | x | | |
| Material associated with service are visually appealing | The written and graphical output of XYZ is well presented. | | x | | |
| | XYZ's size is appropriate for the services they perform for me. | | | x | |
| Keeping promises | | | | | |
| Interest in solving problem | | | | | |
| Performs right first time | | | | | |
| Provides service at time promised | XYZ provides its services at the time it promises to. | | | x | |
| Error free records | XYZ's solutions to problems are technically correct. | x | | | |
| | XYZ demonstrates good awareness of health and safety issues. | | | | |
| | The design element of XYZ's work shows creativity and capability. | x | | | |
| Employees tell you exactly when service will be performed | XYZ tells me when it will perform the service for me. | | | x | |
| Prompt service | XYZ provides prompt service. | | | x | |
| Employees always willing to help | XYZ and its employees are always willing to help me. | | | | x |
| Employees never too busy to respond to your request | XYZ and its employees are never too busy to respond to my requests. | | | | x |
| Behaviors of employees instills confidence in customers | | | | | |
| You feel safe in your transactions | I feel safe in my dealings with XYZ. | x | | | |
| | I will benefit from a long term working relationship with XYZ. | x | | | |

RESEARCHES & DISCUSSIONS

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Employees always courteous to me | XYZ and its employees are always polite to me. | | | x |
| Employees have the knowledge to answer questions | Employees of XYZ have the knowledge and competence to solve my problems. | x | | |
| | XYZ and its employees have experience relevant to the service I require. | x | | |
| | The site supervision of projects by XYZ is good. | x | | |
| | XYZ provide good cost control of projects. | x | | |
| Firm gives you individual attention | XYZ provide me with personal attention. | | | x |
| Operating hours convenient to customers | | | | |
| | Employees of XYZ are easily accessible to me. | | | x |
| | The partners or directors of XYZ stay involved with my projects. | x | | |
| Employees give you personal attention | | | | |
| Firm has your best interests at heart | XYZ have only my best interests at heart. | x | | |
| Employees understand your specific needs | XYZ understand my problems. | x | | |
| | XYZ and its employees understand my organization. | x | | |
| | XYZ and I have similar views about things that are important. | x | | |
| | The standard of verbal presentation by employees of XYZ is good. | | x | |

Source: Adapted to the Queensland University of Technology Research Week International Conference 2005

RESEARCHES & DISCUSSIONS

Table 3: Proposed criteria to measure the service quality of construction project management

| Dimension | SERVQUAL (PZB, 1991) Generic Service Quality Measure | MURUGAVAROTHAYA N (2000) Assessment of design-build consultants | HOXLEY (2004) Assessment of professional consultant | PROPOSED CRITERIA Assessment Construction Project Management service | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | Item | Sub-criteria |
| Tangibles (physical evidence of the service) | Modern equipment | Facilities | Modern equipment | 1 | Up to date equipment and software |
| | | CAD, email, Internet | | | |
| | Physical facilities | Head/site office | | | |
| | Staff appearing | Professional appearance | Staff appearing | 2 | Professional appearance |
| | | Organized function of consultant's office | Size appropriate for the service | 3 | Providing right level of PM staffing i.e. proper size, proper post and proper personnel |
| | Materials related to service | Project document | Materials related to service | 4 | Adequate filing systems |
| | | Display clearly | | 5 | Comprehensive and authentic project documents |
| | | | | | |
| Reliability (consistency of performance and dependability) | Providing service as promised | Keep promise to do something by a promised time | Providing service as promised | 6 | Providing service as promised |
| | Sincere interest to solve customer problem | If they fail to do, they do not focus on excuses, but solve | | 7 | Focus on solving the problem with no excuse if failure |
| | | Cooperation and openness with customers in solving | | | 8 Cooperation and openness with customers in solving |
| | Right at the first time | Complete tasks right the first | | 9 | Enforcing internal quality assurance system |
| | Provide Service at time promised | Keep promise to do something by a promised time | Providing service at time promised | 10 | Perform and complete promised tasks at the promised time |
| | Insist on error-free task | Carry out task accurately, no mistake | Solutions to problem are technically correct | 11 | Carrying out task technically accurately, no mistake |
| Responsiveness (willingness, readiness, timeliness of service) | Exact time when service will be performed | | | | [1] |
| | Prompt service | | Prompt service | 12 | Speed of response |
| | Willing to help | Return call ASAP | Willing to help | 13 | Willingness to help |
| | | Keen to help customer | | 14 | Willingness to receive complaint |
| | Respond to customer | Respond to customer quickly | Respond to customer | 15 | Always being available and readiness to respond to clients' needs |
| | | Quick respond to problem when it arises | | | |
| | | Available when customer need | | | |

RESEARCHES & DISCUSSIONS

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Assurance (knowledge and courtesy of employees and their ability to inspire trust) | Instill confidence in customer | Employees are trusted as their honest | | 16 Trustworthy and incorruptible PM Staff |
| | | Benefit from long term working | | 17 Internal regulation of banning staff from taking contractor's gifts [2] |
| | | High level of quality control by senior management | Top management involvement | [3] |
| | | | | 18 Having good relationships with relevant authorities [4] |
| | Customer feel safe in transaction | Early risk identification and avoidance accordingly | | 19 Health and safety awareness [5] |
| | | Anticipate future problem and take action to avoid | Customer feel safe in transaction | 20 Early identifying risk and taking action to avoid |
| | | Thoroughly research the problems | | |
| | Employee is courteous | Employee is polite | | |
| | Employee has knowledge to answer your question | Effective coordination with others | | 21 Effectively coordinate with project participants |
| | | The ability to do what they say they will do | | [6] |
| | | Relevant experienced and professional knowledge | Experienced employees | 22 Relevant experienced |
| | | | Knowledge to solve problem | 23 Relevant professional knowledge |
| | | | Site supervisor is good | [7] |
| | | | Good cost control | [7] |
| | | | Working plan is creativity and capability | 24 Innovation in methods and approach |
| Empathy (individualized attention to customers) | Individual attention | Clients are treated importantly | | Having similar view with Customer [8] |
| | | Individual attention | | |
| | Understand your specific need | Understand customer need of project | Understanding my problems | 25 clients' needs for a project |
| | | Understand customer business environment | Understanding client's organization | [10] |
| | Keep your best interest at heart | Focus on customer objectives not their own | | 26 Respect the commitment to the project and clients |
| | | Keep commitment to project and customer | | Keep client's best interest at heart [27] |
| | | Put customer interest and need first | | |
| | Convenient operating hours | Employees are easily accessible to customer | 28 | Convenient operating hours with appropriate single contact points |
| | | Listen clearly, respect customer input and act accordingly | Verbal presentation by employees is good | 29 Listen clearly, respect customer input and act accordingly |
| | | Use language the customer can understand | | 30 Quality and timing of reports produced to client |
| | | | | 31 Use language that client can understand |
| Function factor | Function factor | Suitability for purpose | | 32 Completed buildings are suitability for purpose |
| | | Durable and maintainable | | 33 Completed buildings are durable and maintainable at low maintenance cost |
| | | It is received well by others | | 34 Completed buildings are well received by end users who are clients' customers |
| | | Achieved quality as originally expected | | 35 Completed building is of original expectation of clients |
| Quality factor | | Compromise between quality and cost is achieved | | 36 Compromise between quality and cost is achieved |
| | | Achieved higher quality than expected | | Achieved higher quality than expected [11] |
| | | | | |
| Time factor | | Project completed on time | | 37 Project completed on time |
| | | Early notification of delays | | 38 Early notification of delays |
| | | Certainty of program | | Certainty of program [12] |
| | | Final cost within the budget | | 39 Final cost within the budget |
| Cost factor | | Early notification of cost escalation | | 40 Early notification of cost escalation |
| | | Certainty of final cost | | 41 Final cost is calculated accurately and reliably |

Notes: the number in [] comes from the 12 essential elements of effective project management proposed by Ong, H.T (2007)

The dimensions to measure the quality of the project management service in this paper will be built based on the studies of Parasuraman et al. (1985), Murugavarothenay et al. (2000), Hoxley (2004) and Ong (2007) with some minor adjustments in order to suit the current construction environment specifically. Dimensions used for evaluating the quality of the project management service will include both process and outcome as per the proposed scale of Murugavarothenay et al. (2000) and Hoxley (2004). However, the dimensions will be modified in order to better account for all aspects of the project management service and fully reflect 12 essentials elements for the effective project management proposed by Ong (2007). There will be 41 sub-criteria employed in the scale with the main contents as shown below:

1. Assessment of service delivery process will be based on the original 5 dimensions of SERVQUAL. They are: Tangibles (4 sub-criteria); Reliability (6 sub-criteria); Responsiveness (4 sub-criteria); Assurance (9 sub-criteria); and Empathy (7 sub-criteria);

2. Assessment of the outcomes will be followed 4 achievements that a construction project should aim at. They are: Function (3 sub-criteria); Quality (2 sub-criteria); Time (2 sub-criteria); Cost (3 sub-criteria);

It is found that there is no conflict among determinants that are used to evaluate the generic service by Parasuraman et al. (1985) and the professional service quality by Hoxley (2004), Murugavarothenay et al. (2000) and Ong (2007). Since Parasuraman et al.'s SERVQUAL is widely used in the U.S., Hoxley's SURVEYQUAL and Murugavarothenay's project process and outcome criteria are accepted in UK and Australia; and Ong's twelve essential elements are recognized in Singapore, Hong Kong and Taiwan. A consideration should be made when constructing a preliminary framework to the construction industry in general, in which the key dimensions of the project service quality are addressed comprehensively (See table 3).

4. Conclusions

The construction industry is vital for the development of any nation. The construction projects

are massive in size, not only in terms of dollar value but also in terms of number of entities involved. Thus, to achieve effective control of a project is a real challenge to investors, especially for those who do not come from the industry or have no experience of building process. One of management solutions that have been widely used to improve efficiency of a project is the engagement of a kind of construction consultancy service: Project Management Consultant (PMC).

In practice, due to the fierce competition in the consultancy service market nowadays, it is evident that more and more consultancy service organizations are showing greater concern to the quality of service. The higher the quality of service, the higher customer satisfaction; this is supported by Wilkie's (1990) concern of quality as a fundamental element to achieve customer satisfaction, and Kotler's (2000) implication of quality as the king to refer the essential intrinsic value of professional service.

This paper checked through the literatures, especially Parasuraman et al. (1985, 1988), Hoxley (2004), Murugavarothenay et al. (2000) and Ong (2007); and the qualitative method to suggest possible set of nine dimensions, including 41 sub-criteria, to measure the service quality of the construction project management. Obviously, these dimensions needed to be checked in the other quantitative research studies to assess how far they can be applied to the whole construction project management service■

References

1. Asubonteng, P., K.J. McCleary & J. Swan (1996), "SERVQUAL Revisited: A Critical Review of Service Quality". *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 10 (6), pp. 62-81
2. Berry, L.L., A. Parasuraman & V.A. Zeithaml (1985), "Quality counts in Services too". *Business Horizons*
3. Boomsma, S. (1993), "A Clear View". *Managing Service Quality*, November, Vol. 2 (1), pp. 31-33
4. Buzzell, R.D. & B.T. Gale (1987), *The PIMS Principles, Linking Strategy to Performance*. The Free Press. New York
5. Cleland, D.I. (1990), *Project Management: Strategic*

RESEARCHES & DISCUSSIONS

Design and Implementation. Blue Ridge Summit, PA: TAB Books Inc., pp. 299-322

6. Dotchin, J.A. & J. S. Oakland (1994), "Total Quality Management in Services. Part 3: Distinguishing Perceptions of Service Quality", *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, Vol. 11 (4), pp. 6 - 28
7. Gale, T.B. (1990), "The Role of Marketing in Total Quality Management" in QUIS-2 Quality Services Conference Proceedings, University of St John's
8. Groonroos, C. (2001), *Service Management and Marketing: A Customer Relationship Management Approach*, (2nd ed.) Cbs Publishers & Distributors
9. Groonroos, C. (1979), "Service-oriented International Marketing Strategies: An Overview", Working papers - Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration; No. 16
10. Gummesson, E. (1993), *Quality Management in Service Organization*. ISQA, New York
11. Hoxley, M. (2004), "Are Competitive Fee Tendering and Construction Professional Service Quality Mutually Exclusive?", *Construction Management & Economics*, Vol. 10, pp. 599-605
12. Juran, J.M. (1988), *Juran on Planning for Quality*. The Free Press, New York
13. Kotler, P. & A. Armstrong (2001), *Principles of Marketing*, (9th ed.), Prentice Hall International Inc.
14. Kotler, P. & K.L. Keller (2006), *Marketing Management* (6th ed.), Pearson International Edition. Pearson Education Inc.
15. Kotler, P., T. Hayes. & N.P. Bloom (2002), *Marketing Professional Service*, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 2002.
16. Kotler, P. & P.N. Bloom (1984), *Marketing Professional Services*, Prentice-Hall
17. Kotler, P. (2003), *Marketing Insights from A to Z: 80 Concepts every Managers Needs to Know*. Wiley
18. Lewis, B.R. & V.W. Mitchell (1990), "Defining and Measuring the Quality of Customer Service", *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, Vol. 8, No. 6, pp. 11-17
19. Lewis, B.R. (1993), "Quality in the Service Sector: A Review", *International Journal of Banking and Management*, Vol. 7, No. 5, pp. 4-12
20. Lovelock, C. (1991), *Services Marketing*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
21. Murugavarothayan (a.k.a. Ken Morgan), J.R & M.C. Partners (2000), "Performance Indicators of Professional Services Used by Clients", The Construction and Building Research Conference of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (COBRA 2000 Conference). University of Greenwich
22. Ong, H.T. (2007), Conference Paper at the inaugural Construction Conference 2007 jointly organized by CIOB Singapore and National University of Singapore
23. Parasuraman, A., V.A. Zeithml & L.L. Berry (1985), "A Conceptual Model of Service Quality and its Implication", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 49, Fall, pp. 41-45
24. Parasuraman, A., V.A. Zeithml & L.L. Berry (1988), "SERVQUAL: A Multi-Item Scale for Measuring Customer Perceptions of Service Quality", Report No. 86-108. Marketing Science Institute, Cambridge, MA.
25. Peters, T.J. & N. Austin (1985), *A Passion for Excellence*. New York: Random House, Inc.
26. Pinto, J.K & O.P. Kharbanda (1995), *Successful Project Managers*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, NY.
27. Rudie, M.J. & B.H. Wansley (1984), *Services Marketing in a Changing Environment*, The Merrill Lynch Quality Program, New York, AMA.
28. Schneider, B., & D.E. Bowen (1995), *Winning the Service Game*, Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press
29. Walker, A. (2002), *Project Management in Construction*. Oxford, Blackwell Science Ltd.
30. Wilkie, W. L. (1990), *Consumer Behavior*, (2nd ed.), New York: Wiley
31. Winsniewski, M. & M. Donnelly (1996), "Measuring Service Quality in the Public Sector: The Potential for SERVQUAL", *Total Quality Management*, Vol. 7(4)
32. Zeithaml, V. A. (2000), "Service Quality, Profitability, and the Economic Worth of Customers: What We Know and What We Need to Know", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 28, pp. 67-85
33. Zeithaml, V.A., L.L. Berry & A. Parasuraman (1988), "Communication and Control Process in the Delivery of Service Quality", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 52, pp. 35-48